

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,099	09/05/2003	Chia-Tin Chung	650285/0009 9917		
7590 05/05/2004			EXAMINER		
Matthew W. Siegal, Esq.			WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L		
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP 180 Maiden Lane			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10038			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,099	CHUNG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph L. Williams	2879	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Section 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 25 or 25 o	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection is objection.	relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the b		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/815,541</u> . ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/815,641, filed on 23 March 2001. **Specification**
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification is silent on the method of photography as a means to form the ramparts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 1, Applicant's prior art figures 4 and 5 disclose a method of manufacturing an organic electroluminescent (EL) element (no number) to form a pattern on a display panel comprising: providing a substrate (59 and 61); forming a first display electrode (50 and 62) having a continuous surface on the substrate; forming a rampart structure (52 and 64) on the substrate for exposing a portion of the first display electrode, wherein the portion of the first display electrode is the same as the pattern; forming at least one organic function layer (51 and 69) on the exposed portion of the first display electrode; and forming a second display electrode (53 and 66) on the organic function layer.

Regarding claim 2, Applicant's prior art teaches that the first display electrode is composed of a light transparent conductive material.

Regarding claim 3, Applicant's prior art teaches that the first display electrode is composed of indium tin oxide (ITO).

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 4, Applicant's prior art teaches that the organic function layer further includes an emitting layer.

Regarding claim 5, Applicant's prior art teaches that the organic layer can includes a hole injection layer (67), a hole transport layer, an electron transport and an electron injection layer.

Regarding claim 7, Applicant's prior art teaches that the second display electrode is metal layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's prior art in view of Nunomura (US 6,450,850).

Regarding claim 6, Applicant's admitted prior art discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the rampart being formed by photography.

Art Unit: 2879

Further regarding claim 6, Nunomura ('850) teaches forming partition walls (i.e. ramparts) by using a photography technique for the purpose of having a highly precision patterning of the walls.

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the photography technique of Nunomura in the display of the Applicant's admitted prior art for the purpose of having a highly precision patterning of the walls.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nagayama et al. (US 5,742,129) discloses the state of the art for an organic EL display.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Williams

Josephilla

Examiner
Art Unit 2879